

**THE HABIRO RING AND UNIFIED QUANTUM
(WITTEN-RESHETIKHIN-TURAEV) INVARIANTS OF 3-MANIFOLDS**

THANG LÊ

For a ring R , $\mathbb{Z} \subset R \subset \mathbb{Q}$ let

$$\widehat{R[q]} = \varprojlim_n R[q]/((q; q)_n),$$

where

$$(q; q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j).$$

Every element $f \in \widehat{R[q]}$ can be written as an infinite sum

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(q)(q; q)_n, \quad f_n(q) \in R[q].$$

If $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex root of 1, then $(\xi; \xi)_n = 0$ whenever $n \geq$ the order of ξ . Hence, one can define $f(\xi) \in R[\xi] \subset \mathbb{C}$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$, the set of roots of 1.

The ring $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}[q]}$ (with $R = \mathbb{Z}$) is called the Habiro ring. It turns out that the functions $f \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}[q]}$ with domains the set \mathcal{Z} of roots of unity have properties similar to those of analytic functions. Every function $f \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}[q]}$ has a natural Taylor series expansion at every element of the domain \mathcal{Z} , and two functions $f, g \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}[q]}$ are the same if and only their Taylor expansions are the same at some element of \mathcal{Z} . Besides, two functions $f, g \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}[q]}$ are the same if they agree on the subset of \mathcal{Z} which has a limit in the ‘‘cyclotomic’’ topology.

The Habiro ring $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}[q]}$ is an integral domain, while $\widehat{R[q]}$, with $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$ (with $d > 1$) or $R = \mathbb{Q}$, is not an integral domain.

Suppose \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra. There is a subset $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of roots of unity for which one can define the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant $\tau_M^{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$ of closed oriented 3-manifolds. The construction uses the theory of quantized universal enveloping algebras. In joint work with Habiro we show that if M is an integral homology 3-sphere, there is an invariant $J_M \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}[q]}$ such that for every $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, one has $J_M(\xi) = \tau_M^{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$. This generalizes a result of Habiro for the case $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$. As a corollary, we show that the WRT invariant of integral homology 3-spheres can be recovered from the LMO invariant. Another important corollary is the integrality result: if M is an integral homology 3-sphere, then $\tau_M^{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$ is always an algebraic integer, actually, it belongs to $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$. The conjecture that $\tau_M^{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$ is an algebraic integer for every 3-manifold and every root of 1 is still open, except for the case $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$.

When M is a rational homology 3-sphere, with $|H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})| = d$, we (with Bühler and Beliakova) show that there is an invariant $J_M \in \widehat{R[q]}$ with $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$ such that $J_M(\xi) = \tau_M^{SO(3)}(\xi)$. Here $\tau_M^{SO(3)}(\xi)$ is a version of the WRT invariant for the group $SO(3)$.

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 686 CHERRY STREET ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160 USA
E-mail address: letu@math.gatech.edu